



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/515,575	02/29/2000	Daniele V. Levy	002801.P013	9778

7590 08/13/2002

Andre L Marais
Blakley Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP
12400 Wilshire Boulevard
7th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90025

EXAMINER

KANOF, PEDRO R

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3628

DATE MAILED: 08/13/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/515,575	Applicant(s) Levy	
	Examiner KANOF	Art Unit 3628	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) KANOF (3) _____
 (2) Mark Vatuone (4) _____

Date of Interview Jun 27, 2002

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: Boulton et al. (US Patent No. 5,537,618)

Identification of prior art discussed:

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The discussion was based on the attached draft amendment and on Boulton. Applicant's Representative explained that Fig. 10 of the invention permits providing feedback for multiple transactions, as in the proposed changes in claims 1 and 30 for the input screen. He added that Boulton does not teach this feature because Fig. 2, left, shows a single feedback on the screen. Examiner responded that it would require further search and consideration.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

VINCENT MILLIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required